(PE)					1723
Applicant(s). D.L. Schwarz et al.					Docket No. P-5204
6 TRADE Serial No. 09/921,542	1	ing Date ust 3, 2001	Examiner D. Sorkin		Group Art Unit 1723
Invention: AN I	MPROVED SYSTEM	FOR STIRRING	SUSPENDED SOLIDS IN	A LIQUID I	MEDIA
	TO THI	E ASSISTANT COM	MMISSIONER FOR PATE	ENTS:	
	vith is an amendment calculated and is tran		• •		
CLAIMS AS AMENDED					
	CLAIMS REMAINING	HIGHEST #	NUMBER EXTRA		ADDITIONAL
	AFTER AMENDMENT	PREV. PAID FO	R CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	10 -	18 =	= 0	x \$18.0	00 \$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	1 -	2 =	= 0	x \$84.0	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)					\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					\$0.00
Please characteristics A duplicate A check in A check in The Communic A duplicate A duplicate Any a Any a Any a Artorney for Appl Reg. No. 34,277	missioner is hereby a cation or credit any over copy of this sheet is additional filing fees repatent application properties.	t No. s enclosed. to cover the uthorized to charge rerpayment to Depo s enclosed. equired under 37 C	Dated: January 15, 2	6 2003	RECEIVED  JAN 23 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 170
Becton, Dickinson and Company  1 Becton Drive  I certify that this document and fee is being deposite on /- / 5 - 0 ≥ with the U.S. Postal Service first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to					
Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417  Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.					

201-847-7096

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Donna M. Baumann

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



Cacant and

DARTIMENT OF COLUMN RECE WAS COMMISSION OF MARKS

GROUP 130

Paper No. 6

In re application of Leland C. Leber et al Serial No. 902,864 Filed: September 2, 1986 For: CABINET CONTROLLER

HECEIVEL Decision on Petition Filed

JAN 23:2007 July 20, 1987

TC 1700

MAILEO: AUG 0.6 1987

Applicants petition the Commissioner to direct the examiner to vacate the restriction requirement and examine all the claims.

Applicants argue that the examiner has placed some claims in the wrong group and that their is common subject matter between the claims of Group I and Group II.

The present case has been reviewed taking into account all of applicants comments set forth in their petition. A comparison of the claims indicates that the subject matter therein is so interwoven that they constitute a single invention with the additional limitations being a mere perfection of the basic concept. It is noted that the Examiner has not properly responded to applicants traversal.

For the reasons set forth in applicants petition and those noted above, applicants petition is hereby granted.

Since the applicants petition has been granted, the Office action of June 29, 1987 is hereby vacated. The case is being forwarded to the examiner and the examiner is directed to submit a supplemental action addressing all the claims.

Robert F. White, Director
Patent Examining Group 130
Specialized Chemical Industries
and Chemical Engineering

Hugh H. Drake P.O. Box 727 Fort Collins, CO 80522